

Holonomy of supermanifolds

Anton S. Galaev*

May 9, 2008

Abstract

Holonomy groups and holonomy algebras for connections on locally free sheaves over supermanifolds are introduced. A one-to-one correspondence between parallel sections and holonomy-invariant vectors, and a one-to-one correspondence between parallel locally direct subsheaves and holonomy-invariant vector supersubspaces are obtained. As the special case, the holonomy of linear connections on supermanifolds is studied. Examples of parallel geometric structures on supermanifolds and the corresponding holonomies are given. For Riemannian supermanifolds an analog of the Wu theorem is proved. Berger superalgebras are defined and their examples are given.

Keywords: supermanifold, superconnection, holonomy algebra, Berger superalgebra

Mathematical subject codes: 58A50, 53C29

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Supermanifolds	3
3	Holonomy of smooth manifolds	5
4	Definition of the holonomy for a connection on a sheaf over a supermanifold	7
5	Infinitesimal holonomy algebras	11
6	Parallel sections	13

*Supported from the Basic Research Center no. LC505 (Eduard Čech Center for Algebra and Geometry) of Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport of Czech Republic.

7	Parallel subsheaves	18
8	Holonomy of linear connections over supermanifolds	21
9	Berger superalgebras	23
10	Holonomy of locally symmetric superspaces	24
11	Holonomy of Riemannian supermanifolds	25
12	Examples of Berger superalgebras	28

1 Introduction

The holonomy groups play a big role in the study of connections on vector bundles over smooth manifolds. They link geometric and algebraic properties. In particular, they allow us to find parallel sections in geometric vector bundles associated to the manifold, such as the tangent bundle, tensor bundles, or the spin bundle, as holonomy-invariant objects, see [2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 12].

In the present paper we introduce holonomy groups for connections on supermanifolds.

In Section 2 some necessary preliminaries on supermanifolds are given. Section 3 is an introduction to the theory of holonomy for connections on vector bundles over smooth manifolds. In Section 4 we define the holonomy for connections on locally free sheaves over supermanifolds. First we define the holonomy algebra, for this we generalize the Ambrose-Singer theorem and use the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor and parallel displacements. Then we define the holonomy group as a Lie supergroup. In Section 5 we define the infinitesimal holonomy algebra and show that in the analytic settings it coincides with the holonomy algebra. In Section 6 we study parallel sections of sheaves over supermanifolds. It is shown that any parallel section is uniquely defined by its value at any point, in spite of the fact that generally the sections of sheaves over supermanifolds are not defined by their values at all points. After this we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between parallel sections and holonomy-invariant vectors, as in the case of vector bundles over smooth manifolds. The definition of the holonomy was motivated by this correspondence. In Section 7 a one-to-one correspondence between parallel locally direct subsheaves and holonomy-invariant vector supersubspaces is obtained. Then we turn to study holonomy of linear connections on supermanifolds. In Section 8 a one-to-one correspondence between parallel tensors on a supermanifold and holonomy-invariant tensors at one point is obtained. We consider examples of parallel structures on supermanifolds and give the equivalent conditions in terms of holonomy. In Section 9 Berger superalgebras are introduced. These superalgebras generalize the usual Berger algebras and they can be considered as candidates to

holonomy algebras of linear torsion-free connections on supermanifolds. In Section 10 holonomy of locally symmetric supermanifolds is considered. In Section 11 the case of the Levi-Civita connections on Riemannian supermanifolds is studied. Kählerian, special Kählerian, hyper-Kählerian and quaternionic-Kählerian supermanifolds are characterized by their holonomy. It is shown that special Kählerian supermanifolds are Ricci-flat and, conversely, Ricci-flat simply connected Kählerian supermanifolds are special Kählerian. A generalization of the Wu theorem is proved. In Section 12 we give examples of complex Berger superalgebras.

Thus holonomy of supermanifolds that is introduced in the present paper is an appropriate generalization of the usual holonomy of smooth manifolds, as many properties are preserved.

2 Supermanifolds

In this section we give some necessary preliminaries on supermanifolds. An introduction to linear superalgebra and to the theory of supermanifolds can be found in [6, 14, 15, 13, 22].

A *real smooth (analytic) supermanifold* $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension $n|m$ is a pair $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$, where M is a real smooth (analytic) manifold of dimension n , $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a sheaf of superalgebras over $\mathbb{R}$ such that locally

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U) \simeq \mathcal{O}_M(U) \otimes \Lambda_{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m}.$$

Here $\mathcal{O}_M(U)$ is the algebra of smooth (analytic) functions on $U \subset M$ and $\Lambda_{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m}$ is the Grassmann algebra of m generators. If $m = 0$, then $\mathcal{M} = M$. The sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ are called *superfunctions (or just functions)* on $\mathcal{M}$. There exists the canonical projection $\sim: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$, $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$, where $\mathcal{O}_M$ is the sheaf of smooth functions on M . The value of a superfunction f at a point x is $\tilde{f}(x)$.

We will use the following convention about the ranks of the indices $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, m$ and $a, b, c = 1, \dots, n + m$. We will use the Einstein rule for sums. Let $U \subset M$ be as above and let x^i be local coordinates on U , then the system (U, x^i, ξ^α) is called a *system of local coordinates* on $\mathcal{M}$ over U . We will denote such system also by (U, x^a) , where $x^{n+\alpha} = \xi^\alpha$. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ we get

$$f = \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r} f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_r}, \quad (1)$$

where $f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ and $f_\emptyset = \tilde{f}$. For any $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r$ and permutation $\sigma: \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ we assume that $f_{\sigma(\alpha_1) \dots \sigma(\alpha_r)} = \text{sign}_\sigma f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}$. If two of the numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are equal, we assume that $f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} = 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the tangent sheaf, i.e. the sheaf of superderivatives of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$. If (U, x^i, ξ^α) is a system of local coordinates, then the vector fields $\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{\xi^\alpha}$ form a basis of the supermodule

$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ over the superalgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$. The vector fields ∂_{x^i} and ∂_{ξ^α} act on a function f of the form (1) by the rule

$$\partial_{x^i} f = \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r} \partial_{x^i} f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_r}, \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_{\xi^\alpha} f = \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{s-1} < \alpha_s = \alpha < \alpha_{s+1} < \dots < \alpha_r} (-1)^{s-1} f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_{s-1}} \xi^{\alpha_{s+1}} \dots \xi^{\alpha_r}. \quad (3)$$

We will denote the vector field ∂_{x^a} just by ∂_a .

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold and $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$, e.g. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $p|q$ be the rank of $\mathcal{E}$, then locally there exists a basis $(e_I, h_\Phi)_{I=1, \dots, p; \Phi=1, \dots, q}$ of sections of $\mathcal{E}$. We denote such basis also by e_A , where $e_{p+\Phi} = h_\Phi$. We will always assume that $A, B, C = 1, \dots, p+q$. For a point $x \in M$ consider the vector space $\mathcal{E}_x = \mathcal{E}(V)/(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(V)_x \mathcal{E}(V))$, where $V \subset M$ is an open subset and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(V)_x$ is the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(V)$ consisting of functions vanishing at the point x . The vector space $\mathcal{E}_x$ does not depend on choice of V ; it is a real vector superspace of dimension $p|q$. For any open subset $V \subset M$ we have the projection map from $\mathcal{E}(V)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_x$. For example, if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$, then $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_x$ is the tangent space $T_x \mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ at the point x .

A *connection* on $\mathcal{E}$ is an even morphism $\nabla : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of sheaves of supermodules over $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\nabla_{fY} X = f \nabla_Y X \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_Y f X = (Yf)X + (-1)^{|Y||f|} f \nabla_Y X$$

for all homogeneous functions f , vector fields Y on $\mathcal{M}$ and sections X of $\mathcal{E}$, here $|\cdot| \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ denotes the parity. In particular, $|\nabla_Y X| = |Y| + |X|$. Locally we get the superfunctions Γ_{aB}^A such that $\nabla_{\partial_a} e_B = \Gamma_{aB}^A e_A$. Obviously, $|\Gamma_{aB}^A| = |a| + |A| + |B|$, where $|a| = |\partial_{x^a}|$ and $|A| = |e_A|$. The *curvature tensor* of the connection ∇ is given by

$$R(Y, Z) = [\nabla_Y, \nabla_Z] - \nabla_{[Y, Z]}, \quad (4)$$

where Y and Z are vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Define the *covariant derivatives* of R with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z)X &= \nabla_{Y_r} (\bar{\nabla}_{Y_{r-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{r-1} R(Y, Z)X) - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r} Y_{r-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{r-1} R(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|Y_r|(|Y_{r-1}| + \dots + |Y_1|)} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{r-1}, \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r} Y_{r-2}, \dots, Y_1}^{r-1} R(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - \dots - (-1)^{|Y_r|(|Y_{r-1}| + \dots + |Y_2|)} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{r-1}, \dots, Y_2, \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r} Y_1}^{r-1} R(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|Y_r|(|Y_{r-1}| + \dots + |Y_1|)} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{r-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{r-1} R(\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r} Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|Y_r|(|Y_{r-1}| + \dots + |Y_1| + |Y|)} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{r-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{r-1} R(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r} Z)X \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|Y_r|(|Y_{r-1}| + \dots + |Y_1| + |Y| + |Z|)} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{r-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{r-1} R(Y, Z) \nabla_{Y_r} X, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

here $r \geq 1$, $Y_r, \dots, Y_1, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(M)$ are homogeneous and $X \in \mathcal{E}(M)$. We assume that $\bar{\nabla}^0 R = R$. It holds $|\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z)| = |Y_r| + \dots + |Y_1| + |Y| + |Z|$. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\bar{\nabla} = \nabla$, then we get the usual covariant derivatives of R .

Let $r \geq 0$. Define the components $\bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_1}^r R_{Bab}^A$ of $\bar{\nabla}^r R$ by the condition

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^r R(\partial_a, \partial_b) e_B = \bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_1}^r R_{Bab}^A e_A.$$

Then, $|\bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_1}^r R_{Bab}^A| = |a_r| + \dots + |a_1| + |a| + |b| + |A| + |B|$. It is easy to show the following

$$R_{Bab}^A = \partial_a \Gamma_{bB}^A + (-1)^{|a|(|b|+|B|+|C|)} \Gamma_{bB}^C \Gamma_{aC}^A - (-1)^{|a||b|} (\partial_b \Gamma_{aB}^A + (-1)^{|b|(|a|+|B|+|C|)} \Gamma_{aB}^C \Gamma_{bC}^A) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_1}^r R_{Bab}^A &= \partial_{a_r} (\bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Bab}^A) + (-1)^{|a_r|(|a_{r-1}| + \dots + |a_1| + |a| + |b| + |B| + |C|)} \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Bab}^C \Gamma_{a_r C}^A \\ &\quad - \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_{r-1}}^c \bar{\nabla}_{c, a_{r-2}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Bab}^A - (-1)^{(|c|+|a_{r-2}|)|a_{r-1}|} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_{r-2}}^c \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, c, a_{r-3}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Bab}^A \\ &\quad - \dots - (-1)^{(|c|+|a_1|)(|a_{r-1}| + \dots + |a_2|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_1}^c \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_2, c}^{r-1} R_{Bab}^A \\ &\quad - (-1)^{(|c|+|a|)(|a_{r-1}| + \dots + |a_1|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a}^c \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Bcb}^A \\ &\quad - (-1)^{(|c|+|b|)(|a_{r-1}| + \dots + |a_1| + |a|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r b}^c \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Bac}^A \\ &\quad - (-1)^{(|C|+|B|)(|a_{r-1}| + \dots + |a_1| + |a| + |b|)} \Gamma_{a_r B}^C \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{Cab}^A. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

3 Holonomy of smooth manifolds

In this section we recall some standard facts about holonomy of connections on vector bundles over smooth manifolds, see e.g. [12, 2].

Let E be a vector bundle over a connected smooth manifold M and ∇ a connection on E . It is known that for any smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ and any $X_0 \in E_{\gamma(a)}$ there exists a unique section X of E defined along the curve γ and satisfying the differential equation $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(s)} X = 0$ with the initial condition $X_{\gamma(a)} = X_0$. Consequently, for any smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ we obtain the isomorphism $\tau_\gamma : E_{\gamma(a)} \rightarrow E_{\gamma(b)}$ defined by $\tau_\gamma : X_0 \mapsto X_{\gamma(b)}$. The isomorphism τ_γ is called *the parallel displacement along the curve γ* . The parallel displacement can be defined in the obvious way also for piecewise smooth curves. Let $x \in M$. *The holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$* of the connection ∇ at the point x is the subgroup of $\text{GL}(E_x)$ that consists of parallel displacements along all piecewise smooth loops at the point $x \in M$. If we consider only null-homotopic loops, we get *the restricted holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$* . Obviously, $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0 \subset \text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ is a subgroup. If the manifold M is simply connected, then $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0 = \text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$. It can be proved that *the group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ is a Lie subgroup of the Lie group $\text{GL}(E_x)$ and the group Hol_x^0 is the connected identity component of the Lie group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$* . The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ of the Lie group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ (and of $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$) is called *the holonomy algebra* of the connection ∇ at the point x . Since the manifold M is connected, the holonomy groups of ∇ at different points of M are isomorphic.

Remark that by the holonomy group (resp. holonomy algebra) we understand not just the Lie group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ (resp. Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$), but the Lie group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ with the representation $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(E_x)$ (resp. the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ with the representation $\mathfrak{hol} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(E_x)$). These representations are called *the holonomy representations*.

The theorem of Ambrose and Singer states that *the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ coincides with the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(E_x)$ spanned by the elements of the form*

$$\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma,$$

where R is the curvature tensor of the connection ∇ , γ is any curve in M beginning at the point x ; $y \in M$ is the end-point of the curve γ and $Y, Z \in T_y M$.

Note that if $E = TM$ is the tangent bundle of M , then

$$\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \nabla_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x,$$

where $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_y M$.

A section $X \in \Gamma(E)$ is called *parallel* if $\nabla X = 0$. This is equivalent to the condition that X is parallel along all curves in M , i.e. for any curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ holds $\tau_\gamma X_{\gamma(a)} = X_{\gamma(b)}$.

The following theorem is one of the main applications of the holonomy.

Theorem 3.1 *Let M be a smooth manifold, E a vector bundle over M and ∇ a connection on E . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a non-zero parallel section $X \in \Gamma(E)$;*
- (ii) *for any point $x \in M$ the holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ preserves a non-zero vector $X_x \in E_x$.*

To prove the inclusion (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 3.1 it is enough to take the value $X_x \in E_x$. Since X is invariant under the parallel displacements, the vector X_x is invariant under the parallel displacements along the loops at the point x , i.e. under the holonomy representation. Conversely, for a given vector $X_x \in E_x$ define the section $X \in \Gamma(E)$ such that at any point $y \in M$ holds $X_y = \tau_\gamma X_x$, where γ is any curve beginning at x and ending at y . From condition (ii) it follows that X_y does not depend on the choice of the curve γ . $\square$

A vector subbundle $F \subset E$ is called *parallel* if for all $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all $X \in \Gamma(F)$ holds $\nabla_Y X \in \Gamma(F)$. This is equivalent to the condition that F is parallel along all curves in M , i.e. for any curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ holds $\tau_\gamma F_{\gamma(a)} = F_{\gamma(b)}$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let M be a smooth manifold, E a vector bundle over M and ∇ a connection on E . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a parallel vector subbundle $F \subset E$ of rank p ;*

- (ii) for any point $x \in M$ the holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ preserves a vector subspace $F_x \subset E_x$ of dimension p .

4 Definition of the holonomy for a connection on a sheaf over a supermanifold

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules of rank $p|q$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Consider the vector bundle E over M defined as $E = \cup_{x \in M} \mathcal{E}_x$. The rank of E is $p + q$. Define the subbundles $E_{\bar{0}} = \cup_{x \in M} (\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{0}}$ and $E_{\bar{1}} = \cup_{x \in M} (\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{1}}$ of E . Obviously, the restriction $\tilde{\nabla} = (\nabla|_{\Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(E)})^\sim : \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is a connection on E . Since ∇ is even, the subbundles $E_{\bar{0}}, E_{\bar{1}} \subset E$ are parallel. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a curve and $\tau_\gamma : E_{\gamma(a)} \rightarrow E_{\gamma(b)}$ the parallel displacement along γ . Since the subbundles $E_{\bar{0}}, E_{\bar{1}} \subset E$ are parallel, we have $\tau_\gamma(E_{\bar{0}})_{\gamma(a)} = (E_{\bar{0}})_{\gamma(b)}$ and $\tau_\gamma(E_{\bar{1}})_{\gamma(a)} = (E_{\bar{1}})_{\gamma(b)}$. We get the even isomorphism

$$\tau_\gamma : \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(b)}$$

of vector superspaces. We call this isomorphism *the parallel displacement* in $\mathcal{E}$ along γ .

Remark 4.1 In [8] a parallel displacement in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ along supercurves $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is introduced. The proof of the existence of the parallel displacement (p. 14) shows that the parallel displacements in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ along a supercurve $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and along the underlying curve $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ coincide and they coincide with our definition for the case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Definition 4.1 Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ of the connection ∇ at a point $x \in M$ is the supersubalgebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{E}_x)$ generated by the operators of the form

$$\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x,$$

where γ is any curve in M beginning at the point x ; $y \in M$ is the end-point of the curve γ , $r \geq 0$, $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_y \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ is a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$ for an open neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of y .

Proposition 4.1 The definition of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ does not depend on the choice of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$.

Proof. Let γ be a curve in M beginning at the point x and ending at a point $y \in M$. Let $U \subset M$ be an open neighbourhood of the point y . For any connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$ and any integer $t \geq 0$ define the vector space

$$L(\hat{\nabla})_t = \text{span}\{\hat{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) | 0 \leq r \leq t, Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_y \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Clearly, $L(\hat{\nabla})_t$ does not depend on the choice of U . Let (U, x^a) be a system of local coordinates such that $y \in U$. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$. Denote by $\vec{\nabla}$ the connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$ such that $\vec{\nabla}\partial_a = 0$. To prove the proposition it is enough to show that for any $t \geq 0$ we have $L(\bar{\nabla})_t = L(\vec{\nabla})_t$. This will follow from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *For any $t \geq 0$ it holds*

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_t}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^t R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) = \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_t}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^t R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \sum_{(b_s, \dots, b_{-1})} B_{a_t \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}} \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{b_s}, \dots, \partial_{b_1}}^s R(\partial_{b_0}, \partial_{b_{-1}}),$$

where $B_{a_t \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$.

Proof. We will prove the lemma by the induction over t . For $t = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Fix $t > 0$. Suppose that the lemma is true for all $r < t$ and prove it for $r = t$.

Using (7) and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^r R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) \\ &= [\nabla_{\partial_r}, \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}})] \\ & \quad - \sum_{l=-1}^{r-1} (-1)^{(|c|+|a_l|)(|a_{r-1}|+\dots+|a_{l+1}|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_l}^c \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_{l+1}}, \partial_c, \partial_{a_{l-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) \\ &= [\nabla_{\partial_r}, \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}})] + \sum_{s=0}^{r-2} \sum_{(b_s, \dots, b_{-1})} B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}} \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{b_s}, \dots, \partial_{b_1}}^s R(\partial_{b_0}, \partial_{b_{-1}})] \\ & \quad - \sum_{l=-1}^{r-1} (-1)^{(|c|+|a_l|)(|a_{r-1}|+\dots+|a_{l+1}|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_l}^c \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_{l+1}}, \partial_c, \partial_{a_{l-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) \\ &= [\nabla_{\partial_r}, \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}})] + \sum_{s=0}^{r-2} \sum_{(b_s, \dots, b_{-1})} \partial_{a_r} (B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}}) \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{b_s}, \dots, \partial_{b_1}}^s R(\partial_{b_0}, \partial_{b_{-1}}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=0}^{r-2} \sum_{(b_s, \dots, b_{-1})} (-1)^{|a_r| |B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}}|} B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}} [\nabla_{\partial_r}, \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{b_s}, \dots, \partial_{b_1}}^s R(\partial_{b_0}, \partial_{b_{-1}})] \\ & \quad - \sum_{l=-1}^{r-1} (-1)^{(|c|+|a_l|)(|a_{r-1}|+\dots+|a_{l+1}|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_l}^c \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_{l+1}}, \partial_c, \partial_{a_{l-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) \\ &= \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^r R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}) + \sum_{s=0}^{r-2} \sum_{(b_s, \dots, b_{-1})} \partial_{a_r} (B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}}) \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{b_s}, \dots, \partial_{b_1}}^s R(\partial_{b_0}, \partial_{b_{-1}}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=0}^{r-2} \sum_{(b_s, \dots, b_{-1})} (-1)^{|a_r| |B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}}|} B_{a_{r-1} \dots a_{-1}, b_s \dots b_{-1}} \vec{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r} \partial_{b_s}, \dots, \partial_{b_1}}^{s+1} R(\partial_{b_0}, \partial_{b_{-1}}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{l=-1}^{r-1} (-1)^{(|c|+|a_l|)(|a_{r-1}|+\dots+|a_{l+1}|)} \bar{\Gamma}_{a_r a_l}^c \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_{l+1}}, \partial_c, \partial_{a_{l-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R(\partial_{a_0}, \partial_{a_{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma follows from the induction hypothesis applied to the last term. $\square$

The proposition is proved. $\square$

The next proposition simplifies the expression for the holonomy algebra. In particular it shows that it is not necessary to take the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor in the directions of the vectors tangent to M .

Proposition 4.2 *The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ coincides with the supersubalgebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{E}_x)$ generated by the operators of the form*

$$\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ R_y(\partial_i, \partial_j) \circ \tau_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{\gamma_r}, \dots, \partial_{\gamma_1}}^r R_y(\partial_\gamma, \partial_a) \circ \tau_\gamma,$$

where γ is any curve starting at the point x ; y is the end-point of the curve γ , $r \geq 0$, $\gamma_r > \dots > \gamma_1$, x^a are local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ over an open neighbourhood U of the point y and $\bar{\nabla}$ is a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the supersubalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{E}_x)$ generated by the operators as in the formulation of the proposition without the assumption $\gamma_r > \dots > \gamma_1$. We will prove that $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x = \mathfrak{g}$. Fix a curve γ starting at the point x . Let y be the end-point of γ , $U \subset M$ an open neighbourhood of y , x^a local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ over U and $\bar{\nabla}$ a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$. It is enough to show that any element $\eta = \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{\gamma_r}, \dots, \partial_{\gamma_1}}^r R_y(\partial_a, \partial_b) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$. We will prove this statement by the induction over r . By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is flat such that $\nabla \partial_a = 0$. For $r = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Fix $t > 0$ suppose that the statement is true for all $r < t$ and prove it for $r = t$.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $r \geq 2$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_r, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ be mutually commuting homogeneous parallel vector fields. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z) &= (-1)^{|Y_s||Y_{s-1}|} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_{s+1}, Y_{s-1}, Y_s, Y_{s-2}, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z) \\ &+ \sum_{I \subset \{s+2, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{S(I)} \left[\bar{\nabla}_{Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_{[I]}}}^{[I]} R(Y_{s+1}, Y_s), \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{i'_1}, \dots, Y_{i'_{[I']}}}^{r-2-[I]}, Y_{s-1}, \dots, Y_1 R(Y, Z) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{[I]}\} \subset \{s+2, \dots, r\}$ is a subset with $i_1 > \dots > i_{[I]}$, $[I]$ is the number of elements in I , $I' = \{i'_1, \dots, i'_{[I']}\} = \{s+2, \dots, r\} \setminus I$, $i'_1 > \dots > i'_{[I']}$ and $S(I)$ is the sign of the permutation $(i_1, \dots, i_{[I]}, s+1, s, i'_1, \dots, i'_{[I']})$ of the numbers $r, \dots, 1$.

Proof. Using (5), the assumptions of the lemma and the Jacobi super identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z) &= [\nabla_{Y_r}, [\nabla_{Y_{r-1}}, \dots, [\nabla_{Y_{s+1}}, [\nabla_{Y_s}, \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{s-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{s-1} R(Y, Z)]] \dots]] \\ &= [\nabla_{Y_r}, [\nabla_{Y_{r-1}}, \dots, [[\nabla_{Y_{s+1}}, \nabla_{Y_s}], \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{s-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{s-1} R(Y, Z)] \dots]] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|Y_s||Y_{s-1}|} [\nabla_{Y_r}, [\nabla_{Y_{r-1}}, \dots, [\nabla_{Y_s}, [\nabla_{Y_{s+1}}, \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{s-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{s-1} R(Y, Z)]] \dots]] \\ &= [\nabla_{Y_r}, [\nabla_{Y_{r-1}}, \dots, [R(Y_{s+1}, Y_s), \bar{\nabla}_{Y_{s-1}, \dots, Y_1}^{s-1} R(Y, Z)] \dots]] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|Y_s||Y_{s-1}|} \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_{s+1}, Y_{s-1}, Y_s, Y_{s-2}, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Jacobi super identity $r - s$ times to the first term of the last equality, we get the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Note that for a curve $\mu(t)$ in M such that $\mu(0) = y$ it holds

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\mu}(0), \partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^r R_y(\partial_a, \partial_b) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} ((\tau_\mu)_0^t)^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_{r-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{r-1} R_{\mu(t)}(\partial_a, \partial_b) \circ (\tau_\mu)_0^t, \quad (9)$$

where $(\tau_\mu)_0^t$ is the parallel displacement along the curve $\mu|_{[0,t]}$. Fix an element $\eta = \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^r R_y(\partial_a, \partial_b) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$. If $a_r \leq n$, then there exists a curve $\mu(t)$ with $\mu(0) = y$ and $\dot{\mu}(t) = \partial_{a_r}(\mu(t))$. From (9) and the induction hypothesis it follows that $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that there exists s such that $r - 1 \geq s \geq 1$ and $a_s \leq n$. Let s be maximal with this property. Applying to η several times (8) and using the induction hypothesis, we get $\eta - (-1)^{r-s} \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_s}, \partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_{s+1}}, \partial_{a_{s-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^r R_y(\partial_a, \partial_b) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$. By the above argumentation, $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. Now we may assume that $a_r, \dots, a_1 > n$. If $a \leq n$ or $b \leq n$, then using the second Bianchi super identity we get $\eta = -(-1)^{|a_1||a|} \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_2}, \partial_a}^r R_y(\partial_b, \partial_{a_1}) \circ \tau_\gamma - \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_{a_r}, \dots, \partial_{a_2}, \partial_b}^r R_y(\partial_{a_1}, \partial_a) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x = \mathfrak{g}$. Equality (8) shows that $\mathfrak{g}$ coincides with the supersubalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{E}_x)$ generated by the operators as in the formulation of the proposition. $\square$

Let E be the vector bundle over M and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the connection on E as above. Then the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$ is contained in $(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)_{\bar{0}}$, but these Lie algebras must not coincide, this shows the following example.

Example 4.1 Consider the supermanifold $\mathbb{R}^{0|1} = (\{0\}, \Lambda_\xi)$. Define the connection ∇ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^{0|1}}$ by $\nabla_{\partial_\xi} \partial_\xi = \xi \partial_\xi$. Then, $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_0 = \{0\}$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_0)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(0|1)$.

Now we define the holonomy group. Recall that a *Lie supergroup* $\mathcal{G} = (G, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{G})$ is a group object in the category of supermanifolds. The underlying smooth manifold G is a Lie group. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ can be identified with the tangent space to $\mathcal{G}$ at the identity $e \in G$. The Lie algebra of the Lie group G is the even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Any Lie supergroup $\mathcal{G}$ is uniquely given by a pair $(G, \mathfrak{g})$ (*Harish-Chandra pair*), where G is a Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is a Lie superalgebra such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group G and there exists a representation Ad of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ that extends the adjoint representation of G on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and the differential of Ad coincides with the Lie superbracket of $\mathfrak{g}$ restricted to $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, see [6, 8].

Denote by $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$ the connected Lie subgroup of the Lie group $\text{GL}((\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{0}}) \times \text{GL}((\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{1}})$ corresponding to the Lie subalgebra $(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathfrak{gl}((\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}((\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{1}}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{E}_x)$. Let $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ be the Lie subgroup of the Lie group $\text{GL}((\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{0}}) \times \text{GL}((\mathcal{E}_x)_{\bar{1}})$ generated by the Lie groups $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$. Clearly, the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ is $(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)_{\bar{0}}$. Let Ad' be the representation of the connected Lie group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$ on $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ such that the differential of Ad' coincides with the Lie superbracket of $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ restricted to $(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)_{\bar{0}} \times (\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)_{\bar{1}}$. Define

the representation Ad'' of the Lie group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$ on $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ by the rule

$$\text{Ad}''_{\tau_\mu}(\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma) = \tau_\mu \circ \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma \circ \tau_\mu^{-1}.$$

Note that $\text{Ad}'|_{\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0 \cap \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x} = \text{Ad}''|_{\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0 \cap \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x}$. Consequently, we get a representation Ad of the group $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x$ on $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$. It is obvious that $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0 \cap \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x = \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x^0$ and if M is simply connected, then $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x \subset \text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$ and $\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x = \text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0$.

Definition 4.2 *The Lie supergroup $\mathcal{H}ol(\nabla)_x$ given by the Harish-Chandra pair $(\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x, \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)$ is called the holonomy group of the connection ∇ at the point x . The Lie supergroup $\mathcal{H}ol(\nabla)_x^0$ given by the Harish-Chandra pair $(\text{Hol}(\nabla)_x^0, \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)$ is called the restricted holonomy group of the connection ∇ at the point x .*

5 Infinitesimal holonomy algebras

In this section we define the infinitesimal holonomy algebra and show that in the analytic case it coincides with the holonomy algebra.

Definition 5.1 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. The infinitesimal holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$ of the connection ∇ at a point $x \in M$ is the supersubalgebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{E}_x)$ generated by the operators of the form*

$$\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_x(Y, Z),$$

where $r \geq 0$, $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ is a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$ for an open neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of x .

Lemma 4.1 shows that the definition of $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$ does not depend on the choice of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be an analytic supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x = \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}.$$

Proof. First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *If $\gamma : [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is a piecewise analytic curve starting at $x \in M$ and ending at $y \in M$, then*

$$\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y^{inf} \circ \tau_\gamma = \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}.$$

Proof. Consider some partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$. Obviously, if the statement of the lemma holds for each curve $\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}$, then the lemma is true. Consequently we may assume that the image of γ is contained in some coordinate neighbourhood $U \subset M$. Fix a connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}|_U$.

Fix an element $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y^{inf}$. It is enough to prove that $\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. Let $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ be the vectors such that $\bar{Y} = \tau_\gamma Y, \bar{Z} = \tau_\gamma Z, \bar{Y}_1 = \tau_\gamma Y_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_r = \tau_\gamma Y_r$. For any $s, t \in [0, 1]$ with $s \leq t$ denote by $(\tau_\gamma)_s^t : \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(s)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(t)}$ the parallel displacement along the curve $\gamma|_{[s, t]}$. Consider the endomorphism

$$F(t) = ((\tau_\gamma)_0^t)^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{(\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y_r, \dots, (\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y_1}^r R_{\gamma(t)}((\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y, (\tau_\gamma)_0^t Z) \circ (\tau_\gamma)_0^t : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x.$$

We must prove that $F(1) \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. Fix a basis of $\mathcal{E}_x$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the elements $F_B^A(t)$ of the matrix of $F(t)$ are analytic functions of t , i.e. $F_B^A(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{Bk}^A t^k$ for some real numbers F_{Bk}^A . For each $k \geq 0$ denote by F_k the endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_x$ with the matrix F_{Bk}^A . Since $F_{B0}^A = F_B^A(0)$, we have $F_0 = F(0) = \bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R_x(Y, Z) \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. Further,

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t+s) - F(t)}{s} = ((\tau_\gamma)_0^t)^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{(\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y_{r+1}, (\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y_r, \dots, (\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y_1}^{r+1} R_{\gamma(t)}((\tau_\gamma)_0^t Y, (\tau_\gamma)_0^t Z) \circ (\tau_\gamma)_0^t,$$

where $Y_{r+1} = ((\tau_\gamma)_0^t)^{-1} \dot{\gamma}(t)$. Consequently, $F_1 = \frac{d}{dt} F(0) \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. Similarly for each $k > 1$ $F_k = \frac{d^k}{(dt)^k} F(0) \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. Thus, $F(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Let now $\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$, where γ is any piecewise smooth curve beginning at $x \in M$ and ending at $y \in M$. Let μ be a piecewise analytic curve beginning at $x \in M$ and ending at $y \in M$ such that the loop $\gamma * \mu^{-1}$ is null-homotopic. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma &= \tau_\mu^{-1} \circ \left((\tau_\mu \circ \tau_\gamma^{-1}) \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ (\tau_\gamma \circ \tau_\mu^{-1}) \right) \circ \tau_\mu \\ &= \tau_\mu^{-1} \circ \left(\text{Ad}_{\tau_{\mu * \gamma^{-1}}}'' \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \right) \circ \tau_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tau_{\mu * \gamma^{-1}} \in \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_y^0$ acts on the element $\eta = \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y^{inf} \subset \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y$ as it was defined above. Note that the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is analytic. By the classical result, the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_y^0$ coincides with the infinitesimal holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_y^{inf}$. Consequently, $\tau_{\mu * \gamma^{-1}} = \exp \xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_y^{inf} \subset \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y^{inf}$. Finally,

$$\text{Ad}_{\exp \xi}'' \eta = (\exp(d \text{Ad}''))_\xi \eta = (\exp \text{ad}_\xi) \eta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}_\xi^k}{k!} \eta \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y^{inf}.$$

By the above lemma, $\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}_r, \dots, \bar{Y}_1}^r R_y(Y, Z) \circ \tau_\gamma \in \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x \subset \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x^{inf}$. The inverse inclusion is trivial. The theorem is proved. $\square$

6 Parallel sections

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. A section $X \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ is called *parallel* if $\nabla X = 0$. Let E be the vector bundle on M and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the connection on E as above. For the section $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(M)$ we get $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{X} = 0$. Hence for any curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ we have $\tau_{\gamma}\tilde{X}_{\gamma(a)} = \tilde{X}_{\gamma(b)}$. Consequently, $\tau_{\gamma}X_{\gamma(a)} = X_{\gamma(b)}$, where $\tau_{\gamma} : \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(b)}$, i.e. X is *parallel along curves in M* .

Consider a system of local coordinates (U, x^a) and a basis e_A of $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Let $X \in \mathcal{E}(U)$, then

$$X = X^A e_A, \quad \nabla_{\partial_a} X = \partial_a X^A e_A + (-1)^{|a||X^A|} X^A \Gamma_{aA}^B e_B.^1$$

Thus the condition $\nabla X = 0$ is equivalent to the following condition in local coordinates

$$\partial_a X^A + (-1)^{|a||X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{aB}^A = 0 \quad (10)$$

or to the conditions

$$\partial_i X^A + X^B \Gamma_{iB}^A = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_{\gamma} X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A = 0. \quad (12)$$

Equations (11) and (12) are equivalent to

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_i X^A + X^B \Gamma_{iB}^A))^{\sim} = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_{\gamma} X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^{\sim} = 0, \quad (14)$$

where $0 \leq r \leq m$. These equations can be written as

$$\partial_i X_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_r}^A + \text{sign}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{\substack{\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\} \\ \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_l, \alpha_{l+1} < \dots < \alpha_r}} \text{sign}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} X_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}^B \Gamma_{iB\alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_r}^A = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$X_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_r}^A + \text{sign}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{\substack{\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\} \\ \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_l, \alpha_{l+1} < \dots < \alpha_r}} \text{sign}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} (-1)^l X_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}^B \Gamma_{\gamma B\alpha_{l+1} \dots \alpha_r}^A = 0. \quad (16)$$

Using this, we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Then a parallel section $X \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ is uniquely defined by its value at any point $x \in M$.*

¹We assume that $(-1)^{|a||X^A|} X^A \Gamma_{aA}^B = (-1)^{|a|} X_0^A \Gamma_{aA}^B - (-1)^{|a|} X_1^A \Gamma_{\gamma A}^B$, where $X^A = X_0^A + X_1^A$ is the decomposition of X^A in the sum of the even and odd parts.

Proof. Let $\nabla X = 0$, $x \in M$ and X_x be the value of X at the point x . Since X is parallel along curves in M , using X_x , we can find the values of X at all points of M . Consider the local coordinates as above. As we know the values of X at all points, we know the functions $\tilde{X}^A$. Using (16) for $r = 0$, we can find the functions X_γ^A . Namely, $X_\gamma^A = -\tilde{X}^B \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma B}^A$. Using (16) for $r = 1$, we get $X_{\gamma\gamma_1}^A = -\tilde{X}^B \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma B \gamma_1}^A + X_{\gamma_1}^B \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma B}^A$. In the same way we can find all functions $X_{\gamma\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_r}^A$, i.e. we know the functions X^A and we reconstruct the section X in any coordinate system. The proposition is proved. $\square$

Theorem 6.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a non-zero parallel section $X \in \mathcal{E}(M)$;*
- (ii) *for any point $x \in M$ there exists a non-zero vector $X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x$ annihilated by the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and preserved by the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$.*

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Suppose that a section $X \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ is parallel, i.e. $\nabla X = 0$. Let $U \subset M$ be an open subset and $\bar{\nabla}$ a connection on $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}|_U$. From (5) it follows that

$$\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z)X = 0 \quad (17)$$

for any vector fields $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}(U)$. Since X is parallel, for any curve γ in M beginning at the point $x \in M$ and ending at a point $y \in M$, we have $X_y = \tau_\gamma X_x$. Hence to prove the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) it is enough to consider (17) at the point y .

Let us prove the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $x \in M$ be any point and suppose there exists a non-zero vector $X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x$ annihilated by the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and preserved by the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that there exists a section $X_0 \in \Gamma(E)$ such that $\tilde{\nabla} X_0 = 0$ and $(X_0)_x = X_x$. Fix a coordinate neighborhood (U, x^a) on $\mathcal{M}$ and a local basis e_A of $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Then $\tilde{e}_A$ is a local basis of $\Gamma(U, E)$ and we get the functions $X_0^A \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ such that $X_0 = X_0^A \tilde{e}_A$ on U . Using (16) and X_0^A , as in the proof of Proposition 6.1, define functions $X_{\gamma\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_r}^A \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ for all $\gamma < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r$, $0 \leq r \leq m-1$. This gives us functions $X^A \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}(U)$ such that $\tilde{X}^A = X_0^A$. Consider the section $X = X^A e_A \in \mathcal{E}(U)$. We claim that $\nabla X = 0$. To prove this it is enough to show that the functions X^A satisfy (13) and (14) for all $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r$, $0 \leq r \leq m$ and any γ , then X^A will satisfy (13) and (14) for all $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ and γ . Note that, by construction, the functions X^A satisfy (14) for $\gamma < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r$.

For the proof we use the induction over r . Parallel to this we will prove that

$$(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A X^B))^\sim = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq s \leq r. \quad (18)$$

For $r = 0$ (13) follows from the fact that $\tilde{\nabla} X_0 = 0$; (14) follows from the definition of functions X_γ^A . Fix $r_0 > 0$. Suppose that (13) and (14) hold for all $r < r_0$ and check this for $r = r_0$.

Lemma 6.1 *It holds*

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_i X^A + X^B \Gamma_{iB}^A))^\sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_1 i}^A X^B))^\sim, \quad (19)$$

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_\gamma X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^\sim = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \gamma < \gamma_1; \\ (-1)^{s-1} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{s+1}} \partial_{\gamma_{s-1}} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_s \gamma_s}^A X^B))^\sim, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_s \text{ for some } s, 1 \leq s \leq r; \\ (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_1 \gamma}^A X^B))^\sim, & \text{if } \gamma_s < \gamma < \gamma_{s+1} \text{ for some } s, \\ & 1 \leq s \leq r. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_i X^A + X^B \Gamma_{iB}^A))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (\partial_i \partial_{\gamma_1} X^A + (\partial_{\gamma_1} X^B) \Gamma_{iB}^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \partial_{\gamma_1} \Gamma_{iB}^A))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (\partial_i (-(-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A) - (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^B \Gamma_{iB}^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \partial_{\gamma_1} \Gamma_{iB}^A))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (-(-1)^{|X^B|} (\partial_i X^B) \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A - (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \partial_i \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^B \Gamma_{iB}^A + (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \partial_{\gamma_1} \Gamma_{iC}^A))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^B|} X^C \Gamma_{iC}^B \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A - (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \partial_i \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^A \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^B \Gamma_{iB}^A + (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \partial_{\gamma_1} \Gamma_{iC}^A))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^C|+|B|+|C|} X^C \Gamma_{iC}^B \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A - (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \partial_i \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^A \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^B \Gamma_{iB}^A + (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \partial_{\gamma_1} \Gamma_{iC}^A))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^C|} X^C R_{C\gamma_1 i}^A))^\sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^C|(|A|+|C|)} R_{C\gamma_1 i}^A X^C))^\sim \\ &= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_1 i}^A X^B))^\sim. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the induction hypotheses, (6) and the fact that the induction hypotheses imply

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (-(-1)^{|X^B|} \partial_i X^B))^\sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^B|} X^C \Gamma_{iC}^B))^\sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^C|+|B|+|C|} X^C \Gamma_{iC}^B))^\sim.$$

This proves (19).

Let us prove (20). First, if $\gamma < \gamma_1$, then $(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_\gamma X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^\sim = 0$ by the definition of X^A . As above, using the induction hypotheses, for any t , $1 \leq t \leq r$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} ((-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^\sim = (-1)^{t-1} (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{t+1}} \partial_{\gamma_{t-1}} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} \partial_{\gamma_t} ((-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^\sim \\ &= (-1)^{t-1} (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{t+1}} \partial_{\gamma_{t-1}} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (-(-1)^{|B|+|C|} \Gamma_{\gamma_t B}^C \Gamma_{\gamma C}^A + \partial_{\gamma_t} \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A) X^B))^\sim. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Further, if $\gamma = \gamma_s$ for some s , $1 \leq s \leq r$, then $\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} \partial_\gamma X^A = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_\gamma X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^\sim \\ &= (-1)^{s-1} (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{s+1}} \partial_{\gamma_{s-1}} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (-(-1)^{|B|+|C|} \Gamma_{\gamma_s B}^C \Gamma_{\gamma C}^A + \partial_{\gamma_s} \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A) X^B))^\sim \\ &= (-1)^{s-1} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{s+1}} \partial_{\gamma_{s-1}} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_s \gamma_s}^A X^B))^\sim, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (21) for $t = s$ and (6).

In the remaining case $\gamma_s < \gamma < \gamma_{s+1}$ for some s , $1 \leq s \leq r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} \partial_{\gamma} X^A)^\sim &= (-1)^s (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{s+1}} \partial_{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma_s} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} X^A)^\sim \\
&= (-1)^s (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_{s+1}} \partial_{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma_s} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (-(-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A))^\sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} \partial_{\gamma} ((-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A))^\sim \\
&= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{|X^B|} \partial_{\gamma} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A + X^B \partial_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A))^\sim \\
&= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (-(-1)^{|X^B|} (-1)^{|X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A + X^B \partial_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A))^\sim \\
&= (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (-(-1)^{|C|+|B|+(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \Gamma_{\gamma B}^C \Gamma_{\gamma_1 C}^A X^B + (-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \partial_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma_1 B}^A X^B))^\sim,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of X^A and the induction hypotheses. Combining this with (21) for $t = 1$ and (6), we get

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_{\gamma} X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A))^\sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_1 \gamma}^A X^B))^\sim.$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Since $\tilde{\nabla} X_0 = 0$, for any curve γ in U beginning at the point $x \in M$ and ending at a point $y \in U$, we have $X_y = \tau_{\gamma} X_x$. From this and (ii) it follows that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{Y_s, \dots, Y_1}^s R(Y, Z))^\sim \tilde{X} = 0 \quad (22)$$

for all $s \geq 0$ and $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$. Consequently,

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{a_t, \dots, a_2}^{t-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A X^B)^\sim = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 1. \quad (23)$$

Lemma 6.1 and (23) prove (13), (14) and (18) for $r = 1$. Suppose now that (18) holds for all $r < r_0$ and check it together with (13) and (14) for $r = r_0$.

Lemma 6.2 *It holds*

$$(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A X^B))^\sim = (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_2}^s R_{Ba_1 a}^A X^B))^\sim$$

for all s , $1 \leq s \leq r$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A X^B))^\sim \\
&= (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (\partial_{a_{s+1}} (\bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A) X^B \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|a_{s+1}|(|a_s|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|A|+|B|)} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A \partial_{a_{s+1}} X^B)))^\sim.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (7) and the induction hypotheses, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \partial_{a_{s+1}} (\bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1 a}^A) X^B))^\sim \\
&= (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_2}^s R_{Ba_1 a}^A X^B \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (-1)^{(|C|+|B|)(|a_r|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|)} \Gamma_{a_{s+1} B}^C \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ca_1 a}^A X^B))^\sim.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (-1)^{|a_{s+1}|(|a_s|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|A|+|B|)} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A \partial_{a_{s+1}} X^B)) \sim \\
& = -(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (-1)^{|a_{s+1}|(|a_s|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|A|+|B|)} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A (-1)^{|a_{s+1}||X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{a_{s+1}C}^B)) \sim \\
& = -(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)(|X^C|+|B|+|C|)+|a_{s+1}|(|a_s|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|A|+|B|)+|a_{s+1}||X^C|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A X^C \Gamma_{a_{s+1}C}^B)) \sim \\
& = -(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|C|)(|X^B|+|C|+|B|)+|a_{s+1}|(|a_s|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|A|+|C|)+|a_{s+1}||X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ca_1a}^A X^B \Gamma_{a_{s+1}B}^C)) \sim \\
& = -(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^\sigma \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ca_1a}^A X^B \Gamma_{a_{s+1}B}^C)) \sim \\
& = -(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^\sigma (-1)^{(|C|+|a_{s+1}|+|B|)(|a_s|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|C|+|X^B|)} \Gamma_{a_{s+1}B}^C \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ca_1a}^A X^B)) \sim, \\
& = -(-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} (-1)^{(|C|+|B|)(|a_r|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|)} \Gamma_{a_{s+1}B}^C \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ca_1a}^A X^B)) \sim.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that by the induction hypotheses

$$(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} (\partial_{a_{s+1}} X^B)) \sim -(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{|a_{s+1}||X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{a_{s+1}C}^B)) \sim$$

$$\text{and that } (-1)^{|a_{s+1}||X^C|} X^C \Gamma_{a_{s+1}C}^B = (-1)^{|a_{s+1}|(|X^B|+|B|+|C|)} X^C \Gamma_{a_{s+1}C}^B.$$

Thus,

$$(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A X^B)) \sim = (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^s R_{Ba_1a}^A X^B)) \sim.$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Using Lemma 6.2, (23) and the fact that if for a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ holds $|f| = 1$, then $\tilde{f} = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A X^B)) \sim \\
& = ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_2}^{r-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A X^B) \sim = (\bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_2}^{r-1} R_{Ba_1a}^A X^B) \sim = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_i X^A + X^B \Gamma_{iB}^A)) \sim = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} R_{B\gamma_1i}^A X^B)) \sim \\
& = ((-1)^{(|A|+|B|)|X^B|} \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma_r, \dots, \gamma_2}^{r-1} R_{B\gamma_1i}^A X^B) \sim = (\bar{\nabla}_{\gamma_r, \dots, \gamma_2}^{r-1} R_{B\gamma_1i}^A X^B) \sim = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\partial_\gamma X^A + (-1)^{|X^B|} X^B \Gamma_{\gamma B}^A)) \sim = 0.$$

Thus the functions X^A satisfy (13) and (14). Consequently, $\nabla X = 0$. From Proposition 6.1 it follows that X does not depend on the choice of coordinates over U . Hence for each coordinate neighbourhood $U \subset M$ we have a unique parallel section $X \in \mathcal{E}(U)$. Thus we get a parallel section $X \in \mathcal{E}(M)$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Recall that the connection ∇ is called *flat* if $\mathcal{E}$ admits local bases of parallel sections.

Corollary 6.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (i) ∇ is flat; (ii) $R = 0$; (iii) $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x = 0$.*

7 Parallel subsheaves

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of rank $p|q$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$. For fixed integers $0 \leq p_1 \leq p$ and $0 \leq q_1 \leq q$ we assume that

$$\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C} = 1, \dots, p_1, p+1, \dots, p+q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C} = p_1+1, \dots, p, p+q_1+1, \dots, q.$$

Recall that a subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules (of rank $p_1|q_1$) is called a *locally direct subsheaf* if locally there exists a basis e_A of $\mathcal{E}(U)$ such that $e_{\bar{A}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{F}(U)$. For example, a locally direct subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called a *distribution* on $\mathcal{M}$.

Let ∇ be a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. A locally direct subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is called *parallel* if for any open subset $U \subset M$ and any $Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ it holds $\nabla_Y X \in \mathcal{F}(U)$.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 7.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold, $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on $\mathcal{M}$ and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a parallel locally direct subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ of rank $p_1|q_1$;*
- (ii) *for any point $x \in M$ there exists a vector supersubspace $F_x \subset \mathcal{E}_x$ of dimension $p_1|q_1$ preserved by $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$.*

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ be a parallel locally direct subsheaf of rank $p_1|q_1$. Fix a point $x \in M$. Consider the vector subbundle $F = \cup_{y \in M} \mathcal{F}_y \subset E$. Since $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is parallel, the subbundle $F \subset E$ is parallel. In particular, F is invariant under the parallel displacements in M and for any curve γ in M beginning at the point $x \in M$ and ending at a point $y \in M$, we have $F_y = \tau_{\gamma} F_x$. Let $U \subset M$ be an open subset. Since $F \subset E$ is parallel, for any $X \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and any $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z)X \in \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Consequently, $(\bar{\nabla}_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R(Y, Z))_y X \in \mathcal{F}_y$ for all $X \in \mathcal{F}_y$ and $Y, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_y \mathcal{M}$. This and the fact that F is invariant under the parallel displacements prove the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Let us prove the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that for a point $x \in M$ there exists a vector supersubspace $F_x \subset \mathcal{E}_x$ preserved by $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$. Then $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$ preserves the vector subspaces $(F_x)_{\bar{0}}, (F_x)_{\bar{1}} \subset E_x$, where $(F_x)_{\bar{0}}$ and $(F_x)_{\bar{1}}$ are the even and odd parts of F_x , respectively. Consequently, we get parallel vector subbundles $F_{\bar{0}}, F_{\bar{1}} \subset E$ on M . Recall that $E_{\bar{0}}, E_{\bar{1}} \subset E$ are also parallel. Let U, x^a be a system of local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ and let e_A be a basis of $\Gamma(U, E)$ such that $e_1, \dots, e_{p_1}; e_{p_1+1}, \dots, e_{p_1+q_1}; e_1, \dots, e_p$ and $e_{p+1}, \dots, e_{p+q}$ are bases of

$\Gamma(U, F_0)$, $\Gamma(U, F_1)$, $\Gamma(U, E_0)$ and $\Gamma(U, E_1)$, respectively. In particular, e_A is a basis of $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Since the vector subbundle $F \subset E$ is parallel, we get

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{i\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Let $f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ be functions. Consider the sections

$$f_{\bar{A}} = e_{\bar{A}} + f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} e_{\hat{B}} \in \mathcal{E}(U).$$

We will prove the existence and uniqueness of functions $f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}$ under the conditions $\tilde{f}_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} = 0$, $|f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}| = |\hat{B}| + |\bar{A}|$ and the condition that there exist functions $X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ such that

$$\nabla_{\partial_a} f_{\bar{A}} = X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} f_{\bar{B}}. \quad (25)$$

Then the supersubmodule

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U) \otimes \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_{\bar{A}}\} \subset \mathcal{E}(U)$$

will be parallel, i.e. for all $Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ it holds $\nabla_Y X \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. Equation (25) is equivalent to the following two equations

$$\Gamma_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} + (-1)^{|a|(|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|)} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{a\hat{C}}^{\bar{B}} = X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}, \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma_{a\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \partial_a f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|a|(|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|)} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{a\hat{C}}^{\hat{B}} = X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}}. \quad (27)$$

Combining (26) and (27), we get

$$\Gamma_{a\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \partial_a f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|a|(|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|)} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{a\hat{C}}^{\hat{B}} - (\Gamma_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{D}} + (-1)^{|a|(|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|)} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{a\hat{C}}^{\bar{D}}) f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}} = 0. \quad (28)$$

We will show that Equation (28) has a unique solution $f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}$ satisfying the conditions $\tilde{f}_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} = 0$ and $|f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}| = |\hat{B}| + |\bar{A}|$, then (25) will hold for the functions $X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}$ given by (26). Equation (28) can be written as

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\Gamma_{i\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \partial_i f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{i\hat{C}}^{\hat{B}} - (\Gamma_{i\bar{A}}^{\bar{D}} + f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{i\hat{C}}^{\bar{D}}) f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim 0, \quad (29)$$

$$(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\Gamma_{\gamma\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \partial_{\gamma} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{\gamma\hat{C}}^{\hat{B}} - (\Gamma_{\gamma\bar{A}}^{\bar{D}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{\gamma\hat{C}}^{\bar{D}}) f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim 0, \quad (30)$$

where $0 \leq r \leq m$. The further proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1. As in Section 6, we can use (30) to define functions $f_{\bar{A}\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_r}^{\hat{B}}$ ($\gamma < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r$, $0 \leq r \leq m-1$) and $f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}$ using the condition $\tilde{f}_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} = 0$. Then these functions satisfy $|f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}| = |\hat{B}| + |\bar{A}|$. We must prove that $f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}$ satisfy (29) and (30) for $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r$, $0 \leq r \leq m$ and all γ . We will prove this by the induction over r . Parallel to this we will prove that

$$(\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} (\bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Aa_1a}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{\hat{C}a_1a}^{\hat{B}} - \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{Aa_1a}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}} - (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{\hat{C}a_1a}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim 0 \quad (31)$$

for all $r \geq 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq r$. For $r = 0$ Equation (29) follows from (24) and the condition $\tilde{f}_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} = 0$; Equation (30) follows from the definition of the functions $f_{\bar{A}\gamma}^{\hat{B}}$.

Lemma 7.1 For $r \geq 1$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\Gamma_{i\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \partial_i f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{i\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}} - (\Gamma_{i\bar{A}}^{\bar{D}} + f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{i\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}}) f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim \\ & = (\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_2} (R_{\bar{A}\gamma_1 i}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} R_{\bar{C}\gamma_1 i}^{\hat{B}} - R_{\bar{A}\gamma_1 i}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}} - (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} R_{\bar{C}\gamma_1 i}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim. \end{aligned}$$

A similar holds for $(\partial_{\gamma_r} \dots \partial_{\gamma_1} (\Gamma_{\gamma\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \partial_{\gamma} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{\gamma\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}} - (\Gamma_{\gamma\bar{A}}^{\bar{D}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \Gamma_{\gamma\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}}) f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim$ (see Lemma 6.1).

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.1. $\square$

Lemma 7.2 For $r \geq 1$ it holds

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{a_r, \dots, a_2}^{r-1} R_{\bar{A}a_1 a}^{\hat{B}}) \sim 0.$$

The proof follows from the facts that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ preserves the vector subspace $F_x \subset \mathcal{E}_x$ and that the distribution $F \subset E$ is parallel along all curves in M . $\square$

Lemma 7.2 proves (29), (30) and (31) for $r = 1$. Fix $r_0 \geq 2$. Suppose that (29), (30) and (31) hold for all $r < r_0$ and check this for $r = r_0$.

Lemma 7.3 It holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+1}} (\bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{\bar{A}a_1 a}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{\bar{C}a_1 a}^{\hat{B}} \\ & \quad - \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{\bar{A}a_1 a}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}} - (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_s, \dots, a_2}^{s-1} R_{\bar{C}a_1 a}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim \\ & = (\partial_{a_r} \dots \partial_{a_{s+2}} (\bar{\nabla}_{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_2}^s R_{\bar{A}a_1 a}^{\hat{B}} + (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_2}^s R_{\bar{C}a_1 a}^{\hat{B}} \\ & \quad - \bar{\nabla}_{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_2}^s R_{\bar{A}a_1 a}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}} - (-1)^{|\bar{A}|+|\hat{C}|} f_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{C}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_2}^s R_{\bar{C}a_1 a}^{\bar{D}} f_{\bar{D}}^{\hat{B}})) \sim \end{aligned}$$

for all s , $1 \leq s \leq r$.

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.2. $\square$

Now Equations (29), (30) and (31) follow from the above lemmas and the induction hypotheses.

We have proved that the supersubmodule $\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{O}_M(U) \otimes \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_{\bar{A}}\} \subset \mathcal{E}(U)$ is parallel. We claim that $\mathcal{F}(U)$ does not depend on the choice of the basis $e_{\bar{A}}$. Suppose that we have another basis $e'_{\bar{A}}$ of $\Gamma(U, E)$ with the same property as above. Then there exist functions $H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}, H_{\bar{A}}^B \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ such that $e'_{\bar{A}} = H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} e_{\bar{B}}$ and $e'_{\bar{A}} = H_{\bar{A}}^B e_B$. Furthermore,

$$f'_{\bar{A}} = e'_{\bar{A}} + f'_{\bar{A}} e'_{\hat{B}} = H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} e_{\bar{B}} + f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{B}}^B e_B = (H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} + f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}}) e_{\bar{B}} + f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}} e_{\hat{B}}.$$

Since $(H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} + f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}}) \sim H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}$ is an invertible matrix, the matrix $H_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} + f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}}$ is also invertible. Denote by $Y_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}$ its inverse matrix. Then, $Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} f'_{\bar{A}} = e_{\bar{C}} + Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}} e_{\hat{B}}$. Moreover,

$$\nabla_{\partial_a} (Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} f'_{\bar{A}}) = (\partial_a Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} + (-1)^{|a||Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}}|} Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} X'_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}) f'_{\bar{B}} = (\partial_a Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} + (-1)^{|a||Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}}|} Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} X'_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}) (H_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{D}} + f'_{\bar{B}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{D}}) (Y_{\bar{D}}^{\bar{A}} f'_{\bar{A}}).$$

From the uniqueness of the functions $f_{\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}}$ it follows that $f_{\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}} = Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} f'_{\bar{A}} H_{\bar{C}}^{\hat{B}}$. Consequently, $f_{\bar{C}} = Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} f'_{\bar{A}}$. Similarly, there exist functions $Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}}$ such that $f'_{\bar{C}} = Y_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} f_{\bar{A}}$. This proves that $\mathcal{F}(U)$ does not depend on the choice of the basis $e_{\bar{A}}$. Note that the sections $f_{\bar{A}}, e_{\bar{A}}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Thus we get a parallel locally direct subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ of rank $p_1 |q_1|$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

8 Holonomy of linear connections over supermanifolds

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold of dimension $n|m$. In this section we consider a connection ∇ on the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Then in Definition 4.1 of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ we may choose $\bar{\nabla} = \nabla$. If we put $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$, then in the above notation, $E = \cup_{y \in M} T_y \mathcal{M} = T\mathcal{M}$. In particular, $E_0 = TM$ is the tangent bundle over M . We get the connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}|_{TM}$ on the vector bundles $T\mathcal{M}$ and TM , respectively. We identify the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$ with a supersubalgebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n|m, \mathbb{R})$ and a Lie subgroup $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}) \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$, respectively.

On the cotangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ we get the connection ∇^* defined as follows

$$(\nabla_X^* \varphi)Y = X\varphi(Y) - (-1)^{|X||\varphi|} \varphi(\nabla_X Y),$$

where $U \subset M$ is an open subset, $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U)$ are homogeneous. The curvature tensor R^* of the connection ∇^* is given by

$$(R^*(Y, Z)\varphi)X = -(-1)^{|\varphi|(|Y|+|Z|)} \varphi(R(Y, Z)X),$$

where $U \subset M$ is an open subset, $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U)$ are homogeneous. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a curve, $\tau_{\gamma} : T_{\gamma(a)}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)}\mathcal{M}$ and $\tau_{\gamma}^* : T_{\gamma(a)}^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)}^*\mathcal{M}$ be the parallel displacements of the connections ∇ and ∇^* , respectively. Then,

$$(\tau_{\gamma}^* \varphi)X = \varphi(\tau_{\gamma}^{-1} X),$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\gamma(a)}^*\mathcal{M}$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\gamma(b)}\mathcal{M}$.

Consider the sheaf of tensor fields of type (r, s) over $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^{r,s} = \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}}^r \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}}^s \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^*.$$

Define the connection $\nabla^{r,s}$ on this sheaf by

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_X^{r,s}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{|X|(|X_1|+\cdots+|X_{i-1}|)} X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i-1} \otimes \nabla_X X_i \otimes X_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^s (-1)^{|X|(|X_1|+\cdots+|X_r|+|\varphi_1|+\cdots+|\varphi_{j-1}|)} X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{j-1} \otimes \nabla_X^* \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $U \subset M$ is an open subset, $X, X_1, \dots, X_r \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U)$ are homoge-

neous. For the curvature tensor $R^{r,s}$ of this connection we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& R^{r,s}(Y, Z)(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(|Y|+|Z|)(|X_1|+\cdots+|X_{i-1}|)} X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i-1} \otimes R(Y, Z)X_i \otimes X_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^s (-1)^{(|Y|+|Z|)(|X_1|+\cdots+|X_r|+|\varphi_1|+\cdots+|\varphi_{j-1}|)} X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{j-1} \otimes R^*(Y, Z)\varphi_j \otimes \varphi_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s,
\end{aligned}$$

where $U \subset M$ is an open subset, $Y, Z, X_1, \dots, X_r \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^*(U)$ are homogeneous. For the parallel displacement $\tau_{\gamma}^{r,s}$ of the connections $\nabla^{r,s}$ along a curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ it holds $\tau_{\gamma}^{r,s}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s) = (\tau_{\gamma} X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{\gamma} X_r \otimes \tau_{\gamma}^* \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{\gamma}^* \varphi_s)$, where $X_1, \dots, X_r \in T_{\gamma(a)}\mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s \in T_{\gamma(a)}^*\mathcal{M}$.

Thus we see that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^{r,s})_x$ of the connection $\nabla^{r,s}$ at a point $x \in M$ and the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}^{r,s})_x$ coincide with the tensor extension of the representation of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and with the tensor extension of the representation of the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$, respectively. From Theorem 6.1 we immediately read the following.

Theorem 8.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold and ∇ a connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a non-zero parallel tensor $P \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}^{r,s}(M)$;*
- (ii) *for any point $x \in M$ there exists a non-zero tensor $P_x \in T_x^{r,s}\mathcal{M}$ annihilated by the tensor extension of the representation of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and preserved by tensor extension of the representation of the group $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$.*

Example 8.1 *In Table 1 we give equivalent conditions for existence of some parallel tensors on supermanifolds and inclusions of the holonomy. The supersubalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m, \mathbb{R})$ that appear in the table are exactly the supersubalgebras annihilating the corresponding tensor at one point.*

Table 1 *Examples of parallel structures and the corresponding holonomy*

<i>parallel structure on $\mathcal{M}$</i>	<i>$\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)$ is contained in</i>	<i>$\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})$ is contained in</i>	<i>restriction</i>
<i>Riemannian supermetric, i.e. even non-degenerate supersymmetric metric</i>	$\mathfrak{osp}(p_0, q_0 2k)$	$\text{O}(p_0, q_0) \times \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{R})$	$n = p_0 + q_0, m = 2k$
<i>even non-degenerate super skew-symmetric metric</i>	$\mathfrak{osp}^{\text{sk}}(2k p, q)$	$\text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{O}(p, q)$	$n = 2k, m = p + q$
<i>complex structure</i>	$\mathfrak{gl}(k l, \mathbb{C})$	$\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(l, \mathbb{C})$	$n = 2k, l = 2m$

<i>odd complex structure, i.e. odd automorphism J of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with $J^2 = -\text{id}$</i>	$\mathfrak{q}(n, \mathbb{R})$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$	$m = n$
<i>odd non-degenerate supersymmetric metric</i>	$\mathfrak{pe}(n, \mathbb{R})$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$	$m = n$
<i>odd non-degenerate super skew-symmetric metric</i>	$\mathfrak{pe}^{sk}(n, \mathbb{R})$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$	$m = n$

The torsion of the connection ∇ is given by the formula

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - (-1)^{|X||Y|} \nabla_Y X - [X, Y], \quad (32)$$

where $U \subset M$ is open and $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ are homogeneous. If the connection ∇ is torsion-free, i.e. $T = 0$, then the curvature tensor R satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$R(X, Y)Z + (-1)^{|X|(|Y|+|Z|)} R(Y, Z)X + (-1)^{|Z|(|X|+|Y|)} R(Z, X)Y = 0 \quad (33)$$

for $U \subset M$ open and all homogeneous $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$.

Recall that a *distribution* on $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally direct subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$. The distribution $\mathcal{F}$ is called *involutive*, if $[\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{F}(U)] \subset \mathcal{F}(U)$ for all open subsets $U \subset M$. Theorem 7.1 gives us a one-to-one correspondence between parallel distributions on $\mathcal{M}$ and vector supersubspaces $F \subset T_x \mathcal{M}$ preserved by $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$. From (32) it follows that if ∇ is torsion-free, then any parallel distribution on $\mathcal{M}$ is involutive.

9 Berger superalgebras

Let V be a real or complex vector superspace and $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a supersubalgebra. The space of algebraic curvature tensors of type $\mathfrak{g}$ is the vector superspace $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ R \in V^* \wedge V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \mid \begin{array}{l} R(X, Y)Z + (-1)^{|X|(|Y|+|Z|)} R(Y, Z)X + (-1)^{|Z|(|X|+|Y|)} R(Z, X)Y = 0 \\ \text{for all homogeneous } X, Y, Z \in V \end{array} \right\}.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with respect to the action

$$A \cdot R = R_A, \quad R_A(X, Y) = [A, R(X, Y)] - (-1)^{|A||R|} R(AX, Y) - (-1)^{|A|(|R|+|X|)} R(X, AY), \quad (34)$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $X, Y \in V$ are homogeneous. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a supermanifold and ∇ is a linear torsion-free connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$, then applying covariant derivatives to (33), we get that $(\nabla_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R)_x \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)$ for all $r \geq 0$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in T_x M$. Moreover, $|(\nabla_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R)_x| = |Y_1| + \dots + |Y_r|$, whenever $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$ are homogeneous.

Define the vector supersubspace

$$L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{span}\{R(X, Y) | R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}), X, Y \in V\} \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

From (34) it follows that $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$. We call a supersubalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a *Berger superalgebra* if $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 9.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a supermanifold of dimension $n|m$ with a linear torsion-free connection ∇ . Then its holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n|m, \mathbb{R})$ is a Berger superalgebra.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.1 and (33). $\square$

Consider the vector superspace

$$\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ S \in V^* \otimes \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \left| \begin{array}{l} S_X(Y, Z) + (-1)^{|X|(|Y|+|Z|)} S_Y(Z, X) + (-1)^{|Z|(|X|+|Y|)} S_Z(X, Y) = 0 \\ \text{for all homogeneous } X, Y, Z \in V \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a supermanifold and ∇ is a linear torsion-free connection on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$, then applying covariant derivatives to the second Bianchi identity, we get that $(\nabla_{Y_2, \dots, Y_r}^r R)_x \in \mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)$ for all $r \geq 1$ and $Y_2, \dots, Y_r \in T_x M$. Moreover, $|(\nabla_{Y_2, \dots, Y_r}^r R)_x| = |Y_2| + \dots + |Y_r|$, whenever $Y_2, \dots, Y_r$ are homogeneous.

10 Holonomy of locally symmetric superspaces

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a supermanifold of dimension $n|m$ with a linear connection ∇ . The supermanifold $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla)$ is called *locally symmetric* if ∇ is torsion-free and $\nabla R = 0$. Note that in this situation the underlying manifold $(M, \tilde{\nabla}|_{TM})$ is locally symmetric as well. Let $x \in M$. Theorem 8.1 implies that $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x$ annihilates the value $R_x \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x)$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$ preserves R_x . We get that $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_x = \text{span}\{R_x(X, Y) | X, Y \in T_x \mathcal{M}\}$.

More generally, let V be a vector superspace and suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a subalgebra that annihilates an $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. Consider the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} + V$ with the Lie brackets

$$[x, y] = -R(x, y), \quad [A, x] = Ax, \quad [A, B] = A \circ B - (-1)^{|A||B|} B \circ A,$$

where $x, y \in V$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$. We get that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} + V$ is a *symmetric decomposition* of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ [5]. All such decompositions for $\mathfrak{h}$ simple are described in [21]. In particular, this allows to find all possible irreducible holonomy algebras of locally symmetric supermanifolds.

A Berger superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *symmetric* if $\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.

Proposition 10.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a supermanifold with a torsion free connection ∇ . If $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)$ is a symmetric Berger superalgebra, then $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla)$ is locally symmetric.*

Proof. We need to prove that $\nabla R = 0$. Since $\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla)_y) = 0$ for all $y \in M$, we get $(\nabla_{Y_r, \dots, Y_1}^r R)^\sim$ for all $r \geq 0$ and all vector fields $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$ on $\mathcal{M}$. Using this, (7) and double induction over r and s it is easy to get that $(\partial_{a_r} \cdots \partial_{a_{s+1}} \nabla_{a_s, \dots, a_1, f}^{s+1} R_{cab}^d)^\sim = 0$ for all r and s such that $r \geq s \geq 0$. In particular, $(\partial_{\gamma_r} \cdots \partial_{\gamma_1} \nabla_f R_{cab}^d)^\sim = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$. Thus, $\nabla R = 0$. $\square$

The proof of the following proposition is as in [20].

Proposition 10.2 *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an irreducible Berger superalgebra. If $\mathfrak{g}$ annihilates the module $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a symmetric Berger superalgebra.*

11 Holonomy of Riemannian supermanifolds

A *Riemannian supermanifold* $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension $n|m$, $m = 2k$ endowed with an even non-degenerate supersymmetric metric g [4]. In particular, the value g_x of g at a point $x \in M$ satisfies: $g_x|_{(T_x \mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}, (T_x \mathcal{M})_{\bar{1}}} = 0$, $g_x|_{(T_x \mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}} \times (T_x \mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}}$ is non-degenerate, symmetric and $g_x|_{(T_x \mathcal{M})_{\bar{1}} \times (T_x \mathcal{M})_{\bar{1}}}$ is non-degenerate, skew-symmetric. The metric g defines a pseudo-Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ on the manifold M . Note that $\tilde{g}$ is not assumed to be positively defined. The supermanifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ has a unique linear connection ∇ such that ∇ is torsion-free and $\nabla g = 0$. This connection is called *the Levi-Civita connection*. We denote the holonomy algebra of the connection ∇ by $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g)$. As we have already noted, $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g) \subset \mathfrak{osp}(p_0, q_0|2k)$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}) \subset \text{O}(p_0, q_0) \times \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{R})$, where (p_0, q_0) is the signature of the pseudo-Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$.

The Kählerian, hyper-Kählerian and quaternionic-Kählerian supermanifolds are defined in the natural way, see e.g [4]. We define *special Kählerian* or *Calabi-Yau* supermanifolds by the condition from Table 2.

Example 11.1 *In Table 2 we give equivalent conditions for special geometry of $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ and inclusion of the holonomy.*

Table 2 *Special geometries of Riemannian supermanifolds and the corresponding holonomies*

<i>type of $(\mathcal{M}, g)$</i>	<i>$\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is contained in</i>	<i>$\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})$ is contained in</i>	<i>restriction</i>
<i>Kählerian</i>	$\mathfrak{u}(p_0, q_0 p_1, q_1)$	$\text{U}(p_0, q_0) \times \text{U}(p_1, q_1)$	$n = 2p_0 + 2q_0,$ $m = 2p_1 + 2q_1$
<i>special Kählerian</i>	$\mathfrak{su}(p_0, q_0 p_1, q_1)$	$\mathbb{C}^*(\text{SU}(p_0, q_0) \times \text{SU}(p_1, q_1))$	$n = 2p_0 + 2q_0,$ $m = 2p_1 + 2q_1$
<i>hyper-Kählerian</i>	$\mathfrak{hosp}(p_0, q_0 p_1, q_1)$	$\text{Sp}(p_0, q_0) \times \text{Sp}(p_1, q_1)$	$n = 4p_0 + 4q_0,$ $m = 4p_1 + 4q_1$

<i>quaternionic-Kählerian</i>	$\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{hosp}(p_0, q_0 p_1, q_1)$	$\mathrm{Sp}(1)(\mathrm{Sp}(p_0, q_0) \times \mathrm{Sp}(p_1, q_1))$	$n = 4p_0 + 4q_0 \geq 8,$ $m = 4p_1 + 4q_1$
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Define the Ricci tensor Ric of the supermanifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{Ric}(Y, Z) = \mathrm{str}(X \mapsto (-1)^{|X||Z|} R(Y, X)Z), \quad (35)$$

where $U \subset M$ is open and $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ are homogeneous.

Proposition 11.1 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a Kählerian supermanifold, then $\mathrm{Ric} = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g) \subset \mathfrak{su}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1)$. In particular, if $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is special Kählerian, then $\mathrm{Ric} = 0$; if M is simply connected, $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is Kählerian and $\mathrm{Ric} = 0$, then $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is special Kählerian.*

Proof. If R is an algebraic curvature tensor of type $\mathfrak{u}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1)$, then $\mathrm{Ric}(R)$ is defined by (35). The following formula can be proved as in the usual case up to additional signs

$$\mathrm{Ric}(R)(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{str}(J \circ R(JY, Z)), \quad (36)$$

where J is the complex structure. Recall that

$$\mathfrak{su}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{u}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1) \mid \mathrm{str}(J \circ \xi) = 0\}. \quad (37)$$

Combining (5) and (7) and using the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|a_r|(|a_{r-1}|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|+|b|+|d|+|c|)+|b|(|d|+1)} \nabla_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{dab}^c \Gamma_{a_r c}^b \\ & - (-1)^{(|c|+|b|)(|a_{r-1}|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|)+|b|(|d|+1)} \Gamma_{a_r b}^c \bar{\nabla}_{a_{r-1}, \dots, a_1}^{r-1} R_{dac}^b = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^s R)(\partial_a, \partial_d) &= \partial_{a_s}(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_{s-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{s-1} R)(\partial_a, \partial_d)) - \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}} \partial_{a_{s-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{s-1} R)(\partial_a, \partial_d) \\ &- (-1)^{|a_s||a_{s-1}|} \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_{s-1}}, \nabla_{\partial_{a_s}} \partial_{a_{s-2}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{s-1} R)(\partial_a, \partial_d) \\ &- \dots - (-1)^{|a_s|(|a_{s-1}|+\dots+|a_2|)} \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_{s-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_2}, \nabla_{\partial_{a_s}} \partial_{a_1}}^{s-1} R)(\partial_a, \partial_d) \quad (38) \\ &- (-1)^{|a_s|(|a_{s-1}|+\dots+|a_1|)} \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_{s-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{s-1} R)(\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}} \partial_a, \partial_d) \\ &- (-1)^{|a_s|(|a_{s-1}|+\dots+|a_1|+|a|)} \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_{s-1}}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^{s-1} R)(\partial_a, \nabla_{\partial_{a_s}} \partial_d). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\mathrm{Ric} = 0$. Using (38) and induction it is easy to prove that $\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^s R)(\partial_a, \partial_d) = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$. Consequently, $(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^s R)(\partial_a, \partial_d))^\sim = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$. This, (36) and (37) yield $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g) \subset \mathfrak{su}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1)$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g) \subset \mathfrak{su}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1)$. Then $(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^s R)(\partial_a, \partial_d))^\sim = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$. Using this, (38) and double induction over r and s , it is easy to show that

$$(\partial_{a_r} \cdots \partial_{a_{s+1}}(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla_{\partial_{a_s}, \dots, \partial_{a_1}}^s R)(\partial_a, \partial_d)))^\sim = 0.$$

for all r and s such that $r \geq s \geq 0$. In particular, $(\partial_{\gamma_r} \cdots \partial_{\gamma_1}(\text{Ric}(\partial_a, \partial_d)))^\sim = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$, i.e. $\text{Ric} = 0$. The proposition is proved. $\square$

Corollary 11.1 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a quaternionic-Kählerian supermanifold, then $\text{Ric} = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g) \subset \mathfrak{hosp}(p_0, q_0 | p_1, q_1)$. In particular, if $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is hyper-Kählerian, then $\text{Ric} = 0$; if M is simply connected, $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is quaternionic-Kählerian and $\text{Ric} = 0$, then $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is hyper-Kählerian.*

We call a supersubalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{osp}(n|2k)$ *weakly-irreducible* if it does not preserve any non-degenerate vector supersubspace of $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \Pi(\mathbb{R}^{2k})$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be supermanifolds. Recall the definition of the product $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} = (M \times N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}})$. Let $U \subset M$ and $V \subset N$ be open subsets, and $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^m)$ and $(V, y^1, \dots, y^p, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^q)$ coordinate systems on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively. Then by definition, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}(U \times V) = \mathcal{O}_{M \times N}(U \times V) \otimes \Lambda_{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^q}$ and this condition defines the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}$ uniquely [14, 15]. Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, h)$ be Riemannian supermanifolds and let ∇^g and ∇^h be the corresponding Levi-Civita connections. Then $g + h$ is a Riemannian supermetric on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ and, obviously, $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, g + h)_{(x,y)} = \mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g)_x \oplus \mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{N}, h)_y$ and $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}^{g+h})_{(x,y)} = \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}^g)_x \times \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}^h)_y$, where $x \in M$ and $y \in N$. The following theorem generalizes the Wu theorem [23].

Theorem 11.1 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a Riemannian supermanifold such that the pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, \tilde{g})$ is simply connected and geodesically complete. Then there exist Riemannian supermanifolds $(\mathcal{M}_0, g_0), (\mathcal{M}_1, g_1), \dots, (\mathcal{M}_r, g_r)$ such that*

$$(\mathcal{M}, g) = (\mathcal{M}_0 \times \mathcal{M}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_r, g_0 + g_1 + \cdots + g_r), \quad (39)$$

the supermanifold $(\mathcal{M}_0, g_0)$ is flat and the holonomy algebras of the supermanifolds $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1), \dots, (\mathcal{M}_r, g_r)$ are weakly-irreducible. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g) = \mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}_r, g_r). \quad (40)$$

For general $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ decomposition (39) holds locally.

Proof. The proof of the local version of this theorem is similar to the proof of the local version of the Wu theorem. Let $x \in M$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g)_x$ is not weakly-irreducible, then $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g)_x$ preserves a non-degenerate vector supersubspace $F_1 \subset T_x \mathcal{M}$. Let $F_2 \subset T_x \mathcal{M}$ be its orthogonal complement. Then $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{M}, g)_x$ preserves the decomposition $F_1 \oplus F_2 = T_x \mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 7.1, there exist parallel distributions $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ over on $\mathcal{M}$ defined over an open neighbourhood U of the point x (at the moment we do not assume that M is simply connected and that $\text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla})_x$ preserves F_1 and F_2). As we have noted, the distributions $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are

involutive. Hence, there exist maximal integral submanifolds $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ of $\mathcal{M}$ passing through the point x and corresponding to the distributions $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$, respectively [13]. Moreover, there exist local coordinates $x^1, \dots, x^n, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^m$ (resp., $y^1, \dots, y^n, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^m$) on $\mathcal{M}$ such that $x^1, \dots, x^{n_1}, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^{m_1}$ (resp., $y^1, \dots, y^{n-n_1}, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^{m-m_1}$) are coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_1$ (resp., on $\mathcal{M}_2$). Consequently, $x^1, \dots, x^{n_1}, y^1, \dots, y^{n-n_1}, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^{m_1}, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^{m-m_1}$ are coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ and we see that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally isomorphic to a domain in the product $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$. Since F_1 and F_2 are non-degenerate, the restrictions g_1 and g_2 of g to $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$, respectively, are non-degenerate. It is easy to check that g_1 and g_2 do not depend on the coordinates $y^1, \dots, y^{n-n_1}, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^{m-m_1}$ and $x^1, \dots, x^{n_1}, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^{m_1}$, respectively. Thus, $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2)$ are Riemannian supermanifolds and $g = g_1 + g_2$. The local version of the theorem is proved.

Suppose that the pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, \tilde{g})$ is simply connected and geodesically complete. Let $F_1, F_2, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be as above. Obviously, the vector subspaces $(F_0)_{\bar{0}}, (F_1)_{\bar{0}} \subset T_x M$ are non-degenerate and preserved by the holonomy group $\text{Hol}(M, \tilde{g})_x$ of the pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, \tilde{g})$. By the Wu theorem, M is diffeomorphic to the product $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are integral submanifolds passing through the point x and corresponding to the parallel distributions defined by the vector subspaces $(F_0)_{\bar{0}} \subset T_x M$ and $(F_1)_{\bar{0}} \subset T_x M$, respectively. It is obvious that the underlying manifolds of the supermanifolds $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are M_1 and M_2 , respectively. From the local part of the theorem it follows that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

12 Examples of Berger superalgebras

In this section we give examples of complex Berger superalgebras. We use results and denotations of [16, 17, 18, 19].

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ denote a complex vector superspace and let $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ be a supersubalgebra. The k -th prolongation ($k \geq 1$) $\mathfrak{g}_k$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is defined as for representations of usual Lie algebras up to additional signs. Consider the Cartan prolong $\mathfrak{g}_* = \mathfrak{g}_*(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = \bigoplus_{k \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_k$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_*$ has a structure of Lie superalgebra. By analogy with [20] we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{2,2} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (41)$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{2,2}$ is the $(2, 2)$ -th Spencer cohomology group (note that this group is denoted in [20] by $H_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{1,2}$). The second map in the sequence is given by

$$R_{\phi \otimes \alpha}(x, y) = \phi(x)\alpha(y) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\phi(y)\alpha(x). \quad (42)$$

In [16, 18, 19] examples of irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ with $\mathfrak{g}_1 \neq 0$ are given and for the most of them the groups $H_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{2,2}$ are computed.

Table 3 Examples of irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ with $\mathfrak{g}_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = 0$

$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_1$	$\mathfrak{g}_*$	restriction
$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(n-p q) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(p m-q))$	$\text{id}^* \otimes \text{id}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n m)$	$n \neq m, n-p+q \geq 2,$ $m-q+p \geq 2$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n-p q) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(p n-q)$	$\text{id}^* \otimes \text{id}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$	$\mathfrak{psl}(n n)$	$n \geq 3, n-p+q \geq 2,$ $m-q+p \geq 2$
$\mathfrak{cosp}(n 2k)$	id	id	$\mathfrak{osp}(n+2 2k)$	
$\mathfrak{gl}(l k)$	$\Lambda^2 \text{id}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$	$\mathfrak{osp}(2l 2k)$	$(l, k) \neq (3, 0)$
$\mathfrak{ps}(\mathfrak{q}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n-p))$	$\text{id}^* \otimes \text{id}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$	$\mathfrak{psq}(n)$	$n \geq 3, n-1 \geq p \geq 1$
$\mathfrak{sl}(p n-p)$	$\Pi(S^2 \text{id})$	$\Pi(\Lambda^2 \text{id}^*)$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n)$	$n \geq 3, n \geq p \geq 0$
$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$V_{(1+\alpha)\varepsilon_1}$	$V_{-\alpha\varepsilon_1}$	$D(\alpha)$	
$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$V_{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$	$V_{-\frac{1}{\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$	$D(\alpha)$	
$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$V_{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$	$V_{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$	$D(\alpha)$	
$\mathfrak{cosp}(2 4)$	$V_{-\varepsilon_1+\delta_1+\delta_2}$	$V_{3\varepsilon_1}$	$\mathfrak{ab}(3)$	

Table 4 Examples of irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ with $\mathfrak{g}_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 \neq 0$

$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_1$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{g}_*$	restriction
$\mathfrak{cpe}(n)$	id	id^*	$\Pi(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n+1)$	$n \geq 3$
$\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle$	id	id^*	$\Pi(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{spe}(n+1)$	$n \geq 3$

Table 5 Examples of irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ whose Caratan prolongs are vectorial Lie superalgebras

$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_*$	$H_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{2,2}$	restriction
$\mathfrak{gl}(n m)$	id	$\mathfrak{vect}(n m)$	0	
$\mathfrak{sl}(n m)$	id	$\mathfrak{svect}(n m)$	0	$(n, m) \neq (0, 2)$
$\mathfrak{sl}(0 2)$	id	$\mathfrak{svect}(0 2)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C})$	
$\mathfrak{osp}^{sk}(2n m)$	id	$\mathfrak{h}(2n m)$	0	
$\mathfrak{spe}^{sk}(n)$	id	$\mathfrak{slc}(n)$	$\Pi(\mathbb{C})$	$n \geq 3$

Proposition 12.1 The following Lie superalgebras are Berger superalgebras:

- 1) $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{sl}(n-p|q) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(p|m-q))$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(n-p|q) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(p|m-q)$ if $n \neq m, n-p+q \geq 2, m-q+p \geq 2$,
 $\mathfrak{sl}(n-p|q) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(p|n-q)$ if $n \geq 3, n-p+q \geq 2, n-q+p \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{cosp}(n|2k)$, $\mathfrak{osp}(n|2k)$,
 $\mathfrak{ps}(\mathfrak{q}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n-p))$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{sq}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{sq}(n-p))$ with their standard representations;
- 2) $\mathfrak{gl}(l|k)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(l|k)$ acting on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^l \oplus \Pi(\mathbb{R}^k))$;
- 3) $\mathfrak{sl}(p|n-p)$ acting on both $\Pi(S^2(\mathbb{R}^p \oplus \Pi(\mathbb{R}^{n-p})))$ and $\Pi(\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^p \oplus \Pi(\mathbb{R}^{n-p})))$;

- 4) $\mathfrak{gl}(1|2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(1|2)$ acting on each of $V_{(1+\alpha)\varepsilon_1}$, $V_{-\alpha\varepsilon_1}$, $V_{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$, $V_{-\frac{1}{\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$, $V_{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$ and $V_{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\varepsilon_1}$;
- 5) $\mathfrak{cosp}(2|4)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(2|4)$ acting on both $V_{-\varepsilon_1+\delta_1+\delta_2}$ and $V_{3\varepsilon_1}$;

Proof. For the proof we use Table 3. In all cases $\mathfrak{g}_*$ is simple. Hence, $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_1] = \mathfrak{g}_0$. This and the exact sequence (41) yield that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Berger superalgebra: take $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ such that $\alpha(x) \neq 0$, $\phi(y) = 1$ and $\phi(x) = 0$, then $R_{\phi \otimes \alpha}(x, y) = \alpha(x)$; on the other hand, these elements span $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is not semisimple and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \neq \mathfrak{ps}(\mathfrak{q}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n-p))$, then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ for an ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$. Let $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\bar{0}}$. Then there exists a non-zero $x \in (\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}x \oplus \ker \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}} \alpha|_{(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}}$. Take a non-zero $\phi \in (\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{0}}^*$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$. Then $0 \neq R_{\phi \otimes \alpha} \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$. Consequently, if $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ is simple then it is a Berger superalgebra. If $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ is not simple, then it is clear that all elements of $\mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$ can not take image in one of the simple summands of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. The case $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{ps}(\mathfrak{q}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n-p))$ is similar. $\square$

Proposition 12.2 *Let $n \geq 3$. The Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$, $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$, $\mathfrak{cspe}(n)$, $\mathfrak{cpe}(n)$, $\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle$, $\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle a\tau + bz \rangle$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $\frac{b}{a} \neq n$) of $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ are Berger superalgebras.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{spe}(n)$, $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$, $\mathfrak{cspe}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle a\tau + bz \rangle$, then $\mathfrak{g}_*(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{spe}(n)) \simeq H_{\mathfrak{spe}(n)}^{2,2}$ and there are the following non-split exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{spe}(n)}^{2,2} \longrightarrow \Pi(V_{2\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $n \geq 4$, and

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{spe}(3)}^{2,2} \longrightarrow \Pi(V_{3\varepsilon_1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where V is determined from the following non-split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \Pi(V_{2\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{spe}(n)$ is simple, it is a Berger superalgebra. For each of the last three values of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ there is an injective non-surjective map from $H_{\mathfrak{spe}(n)}^{2,2}$ to $H_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{2,2}$. Since each of these three Lie superalgebras has dimension $\dim \mathfrak{spe}(n) + 1$, they are Berger superalgebras.

Further, if $n \geq 4$, then $H_{\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle}^{2,2} \simeq \Pi(V_{2\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2})$ and there is the following non-split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Pi(V_{2\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{spe}(3) \rtimes \langle \tau + 3z \rangle}^{2,2} \longrightarrow \Pi(V_{3\varepsilon_1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

From this, (41) and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Pi(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow S^2 \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^* \longrightarrow V_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \longrightarrow 0$$

it follows that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle) \neq \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{spe}(n))$. Hence $\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle$ is a Berger superalgebra. Finally, there is an injective non-surjective map from $H_{\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle}^{2,2}$ to $H_{\mathfrak{cpe}(n)}^{2,2}$. This and the sequences (41) written for the both Lie superalgebras show that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle) \neq \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{cpe}(n))$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{cpe}(n) = \dim \mathfrak{spe}(n) \rtimes \langle \tau + nz \rangle + 1$, we get that $\mathfrak{cpe}(n)$ is a Berger superalgebra. $\square$

Proposition 12.3 *The Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n|m)$, $\mathfrak{osp}^{sk}(n|2m)$ and $\mathfrak{spe}^{sk}(k)$ ($k \geq 3$) with their standard representations are Berger superalgebras.*

Proof. The proof follows from (41) and Table 5. $\square$

Proposition 12.4 *Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be a simple complex Lie superalgebra, $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ act on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ via the adjoint representation. Then $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{C}\varphi_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = 0$, where $\varphi_1 : \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \Pi((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \Pi((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{0}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{1}}$, $\varphi_1(x) = (-1)^{|x|}\Pi(x)$ for all homogeneous $x \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. In particular, for any simple complex Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\Pi(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a Berger superalgebra.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_1 &= \{\varphi \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \mid [\varphi(x), y] = (-1)^{|x||y|}[\varphi(y), x], \ x, y \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\} \\ &= \Pi\{\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \mid [\varphi(x), y] = (-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)}[\varphi(y), x], \ x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Let us first find all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ annihilated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Suppose that $0 \neq \varphi \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\bar{0}}$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$, i.e. $[x, \varphi(y)] = \varphi([x, y])$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Consequently, the kernel of φ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and it must be trivial, i.e. φ is injective. On the other hand, for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we have $\varphi([\varphi(x), y]) = [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] = -(-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)}[\varphi(y), \varphi(x)] = -(-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)}\varphi([\varphi(y), x])$, since $\varphi \in (\Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{0}} = (\mathfrak{g}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{1}}$. Hence, $\varphi([\varphi(x), y]) = 0$. Since φ is injective, $[\varphi(x), y] = 0$. But this yields $\varphi = 0$ and we get a contradiction. Let φ_1 be as in the statement of the proposition. Obviously, $\varphi_1 \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\bar{1}}$ and φ_1 is annihilated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. From the above and the Schur Lemma it follows that the subset of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ annihilated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ coincides with $\mathbb{C}\varphi_1$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is not equal to $\mathbb{C}\varphi_1$, then there exists a non-trivial $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -irreducible submodule $W \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus W \oplus W^2 \oplus W^3 \oplus \dots$. By the construction of $\mathfrak{h}$ and a proposition from [11], $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple. On the other hand, all simple $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of depth 1 are listed in [16] and the case $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ does not occur there. Thus, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{C}\varphi_1$. $\square$

Remark. Note that Proposition 12.4 shows in particular that any simple vectorial Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acting on $\Pi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Berger superalgebra. These examples have no analogs in the case of the usual Berger algebras [20].

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, C. Devchand and U. Semmelmann, *Killing spinors are Killing vector fields in Riemannian supergeometry*. J. Geom. Phys. 26 (1998), no. 1–2, 37–50.

- [2] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.
- [3] R. Bryant, *Recent advances in the theory of holonomy*. Séminaire Bourbaki 51 ème année. 1998–99. n° 861.
- [4] V. Cortés, *A new construction of homogeneous quaternionic manifolds and related geometric structures*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2000), no. 700, viii+63 pp.
- [5] V. Cortés, *Odd Riemannian symmetric spaces associated to four-forms*. Math. Scand. 98 (2006), no. 2, 201–216.
- [6] P. Deligne, J. W. Morgan, *Notes on supersymmetry (following Joseph Bernstein)*, *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians*, Vols. 1,2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), 41-97. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1999.
- [7] A. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian holonomy theory*. To appear in: Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics.
- [8] O. Goertsches, *Riemannian Supergeometry*. arXiv:math.DG/0604143.
- [9] D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [10] D. Joyce, *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
- [11] V. G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*. Adv. Math., 26 (1977), 8–96.
- [12] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*. volumes 1,2, Interscience Wiley, New York, 1963, 1967.
- [13] Yu. I. Manin, *Gauge Field Theory and Complex Geometry*. Grundlehren 289 (1988), Springer Verlag. First appeared as Kalibrovochnye polya i kompleksnaya geometriya, Nauka, Moscow 1984.
- [14] D. A. Leites, *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 35 (1980), no. 1, 3–57, translated in Russian Math. Surveys, 35 (1980), no. 1, 1–64.
- [15] D. A. Leites, *Theory of supermanifolds*. (in Russian) Petrozavodsk, 1983.
- [16] D. A. Leites, E. Poletaeva, V. Serganova, *On Einstein equations on manifolds and supermanifolds*. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 9 (2002), no. 4, 394–425.
- [17] D. A. Leites (editor), *SUPERSYMMETRIES. Algebra and Calculus*. Springer (to appear).

- [18] E. Poletaeva, *Analogues of Riemann tensors for the odd metric on supermanifolds*. Acta Appl. Math. 31 (1993), no. 2, 137–169.
- [19] E. Poletaeva, *The analogs of Riemann and Penrose tensors on supermanifolds*. arXiv:math/0510165.
- [20] L. J. Schwachhöfer, *Connections with irreducible holonomy representations*. Adv. Math. 160 (2001), no. 1, 1–80.
- [21] V. V. Serganova, *Classification of simple real Lie superalgebras and symmetric superspaces*. (Russian) Funktsional Anal. Appl. 17 (1983), no. 3, 200–207.
- [22] V. S. Varadarajan, *Supersymmetry for Mathematicians: An Introduction*. American Mathematical Society, Courant lecture notes, Vol. 11, 2004.
- [23] H. Wu, *Holonomy groups of indefinite metrics*. Pacific journal of math., 20 (1967), 351–382.

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Masaryk University in Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 66295 Brno, Czech Republic

E-mail address: galaev@math.muni.cz